

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/782,596	02/12/2001	Nathaniel M. McCully	07844-413001 / P377	9487
21876 7	590 02/17/2006		EXAM	INER
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.			STEVENS, ROBERT	
P.O. Box 1022 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2176	

DATE MAILED: 02/17/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/782,596	MCCULLY, NATHANIEL M.
Examiner	Art Unit
Robert M. Stevens	2176

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief --The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 30 January 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. 🔀 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: The period for reply expires ___months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. 🔲 The Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: _____. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _ 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. 🔲 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) 🔲 will not be entered, or b) 🔲 will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. 🔲 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. Main The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 13. Other: . PRIMARY EXAMINER

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicant argues that the references do not disclose several limitations of claim 1 and 2, and that no motivation to combine the references exists.

The Office respectfully disagrees.

Regarding claim 1, Neville discloses a height-to-width ratio determination from col. 12 line 63 to col. 13 line 7. If a certain threshold is exceeded, the character spacing and thus arrangement region is adjusted.

Additionally, the Office interprets the cited passages (e.g., Fig. 8) in Neville as being comprised of subregions containing individual characters. Thus the arrangement region is comprised of a plurality of subregions, and therefore Neville teaches the use of an arrangement region to arrange a plurality of characters.

Additionally, the Office believes that the Neville reference teaches arranging a plurality of characters according to a demarcation line as in Fig. 8, where the characters are arranged according to vertical and horizontal demarcation lines. The Office also notes Neville's teaching of height-to-width ratio determination and comparison to a threshold value.

Concerning motivation, the Office respectfully notes that motivation need only exist for combining the references, not for achieving the claimed limitations of Applicant's subject matter. The Office considers motivation to be proper, as set forth in the Final Office Action.

Regarding claim 2, Spitz teaches that the grids or arrays as shown in Fig. 3A-3C are being moved in the Y-axis direction as shown by the label "N+1" and as discussed in col. 10 lines 54-61.

Regarding claims 8, 15 and 16: The Office maintains the rationale for rejecting claim 1, and therefore claims 8, 15 and 16.

The Office therefore maintains the rejections set forth in the Final Action, mailed 11/29/05.

WILLIAM BASHORE
PRIMARY EXAMINER

2 (5) 40000